

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/713,880	11/13/2003	Steven M. Reinecke	068612.0220	1109	
23562 75	590 06/14/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BAKER & MCKENZIE			DOSTER GREENE, DINNATIA JO		
PATENT DEPA 2001 ROSS AV			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 2300			3743		
DALLAS, TX 75201			DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>'</u>)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/713,880	REINECKE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dinnatia Doster-Greene	3743			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/18/	705 .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-26 is/are pending in the appear 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-19 is/are withdraw 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 10 and 23-26 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6,8,9 and 20-22 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the following(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P 6) ☑ Other: <u>Detail Action</u>	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed on February 18, 2005 has been received and made of record in the application. As requested, the amendment to the claims 1 and 8, the cancellation of claim 7, the withdrawal of claims 11-19 without traverse, and the addition of claims 20-26 have been entered.

Information Disclosure Statement

The Office thanks the Applicant for providing courtesy copies of most of the requested documents. With regards to the three articles listed on the November 13, 2003 Information Disclosure Statement, these three articles have not considered by the Office since Applicant is unable to provide a courtesy copy of these articles and since the Office has not been able to locate the previously filed copies. However, the Office will acknowledge these articles, if they are located at a later date.

Furthermore, regarding the PCT documents which were cited on the November 13, 2003 Information Disclosure Statement, these documents have not been considered because they are not properly cited. According to 37 CFR 1.98(b)(4), "Each foreign patent or published foreign patent application listed in an information disclosure statement must be identified by the country or patent office which issued the patent or published application, an appropriate document number, and the publication date indicated on the patent or published application."

Application/Control Number: 10/713,880

Art Unit: 3743

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-6, 8-9 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reinecke (WO 02/069857) in view of Grim (U.S. Patent No. Re. 34,883). Reinecke discloses the claimed invention with the exception of a pump coupled to the belt to provide air to a lumbar pad. However, Grim, which also relates to a back brace, discloses a pump coupled to a belt adapted to provide air to a lumbar pad through the tube at least partially integrated within the belt (Figs. 13-14). Specifically, in column 6, lines 64-66 Grim discloses that a small flexible hand pump may be kept assembled with the back support. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to incorporate the inflatable lumbar pad and the pump of Grim into the back brace of Reinecke for the purpose of providing additional comfort to the user.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,880

Art Unit: 3743

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10 and 23-26 are allowed.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dinnatia Doster-Greene whose telephone number is 571-272-7143. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on 571-272-4791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,880 Page 5

Art Unit: 3743

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bennett

ddg